Letter to Editor



Open Access, Volume - 2

Organ donation is heavily influenced by humanistic thoughts and socio-economic factors

Juan Zhang¹; Han Li¹; Chenchen Pan¹; Zhipeng Li¹*

¹School of Medicine, Taizhou University, Taizhou 318000, Zhejiang Province, China.

Received Date : Sep 29, 2022 Accepted Date : Nov 09, 2022 Published Date : Dec 02, 2022

Archived: www.jcmimagescasereports.org

Copyright : © Zhipeng Li 2022

*Corresponding Author: Zhipeng Li, School of Medicine, Taizhou University, No. 1139 Shifu Avenue, Jiaojiang District, Taizhou 318000, Zhejiang

Province, China.

E-mail: lzpzhonghong@126.com

Abstract

Organ donation is affected by a variety of factors, and a single sample source will greatly reduce the reliability of the conclusion. The experimental results without multi-center exploration may need further verification. There may be differences in the educational level of different universities, and there may be large differences in the cognition of students studying in them. Students at high-level colleges may be more likely to accept organ donation, while students at low-level colleges may be less likely to receive organ donation. Confucian culture deeply influences Chinese students' attitude towards donation. What really hinders organ donation are socioeconomic factors, not other factors such as religious beliefs.

Keywords: Confucianism; Economy; Ethics; Organ donation.

Core tip: Further observation and research are needed for the investigation of attitudes towards organ donation in a single center. Attitudes towards organ donation are influenced by many factors, of which sociocultural and economic factors are particularly important.

To The Editor

We were delighted to read high-quality research article by Martino et al. [1], which entitled "Attitude and knowledge of medical students toward donation after circulatory death". Martino et al. aimed to investigate and analyze the acceptance of organ donation in Brazil. They conducted a survey of medical students in a public university in Brazil through a questionnaire containing 26 goals and Likert scale questions. The results showed that most people knew the concept of brain death, the acceptance of postmortem donation is much higher than living donation. These new findings and valuable results greatly attracted our interest, but after our reading and investigation, we found that the conclusions of Martino et al. deserve further exploration and research. We are more than happy to contribute to the debate and look forward to hearing from the authors.

First of all, the author did not conduct a multi-center survey. The author's sample only included medical students from a public university in Brazil. The sample came from a single source, but it was deduced to the whole of Brazil through the

conclusion of a single center. It did not follow the multi-center principle. A single sample Sources can greatly reduce the reliability of conclusions [2,3]. Because many potential confounding factors can influence medical students' perceptions of organ donation, whether living or post-mortem. These confounding factors may include geographic environment, household income, cultural environment, regional policies, etc [4-6]. And these factors may also affect each other. In addition, there may be differences in the educational level of different universities, and the cognition of students who attend may be quite different. Students in high-level universities may be more accepting of organ donation, while students in low-level universities may be relatively low [7]. Therefore, we recommend that the authors supplement multicenter survey studies to enrich the reliability of the data.

Second, we found that the authors compared the willingness of Chinese students to donate their kidneys to relatives in vivo with that of Brazilian medical students. In fact, the comparability between the two is relatively low, because China is deeply influenced by Confucianism and culture [8]. Under the dual influence of family ethics and humanistic thought, it is not surprising that Chinese students are more willing to donate organs to their relatives. Additionally, we note that the authors mention the proportion of liver donation. The liver only needs 30% to fully regenerate [9], so people with a medical education are more willing to accept liver donations, either in vivo or posthumously.

Volume 2 | Issue 6 | 2022

Citation: Zhipeng Li. Organ donation is heavily influenced by humanistic thoughts and socio-economic factors. J Clin Med Img Case Rep. 2022; 2(6): 1310.

Finally, we note that the author mentions that "Eastern countries have difficulty accepting deceased donations, while western countries have difficulty continuing with living donations". In fact, in eastern countries, especially in countries such as China and Japan, which are heavily influenced by Confucianism, living donations are often more unacceptable than post-mortem donations, because Confucian culture emphasizes"My body, including hair and skin, which given by parents, shouldn't be damaged. This is the basic of filial piety." Filial piety is very important in these countries [10]. Gill pointed out that what really hinders organ donation in western countries are socioeconomic factors, not other factors such as religious beliefs [11].

Acknowledgments

We thank the reviewers for their comments that helped to improve the manuscript.

Conflict of Interest

Each author has reviewed the statement by the Journal of Clinical Case Reports, Medical Images and Health Sciences on conflicts of interest, and every author stated that they have no commercial, professional, or personal conflict of interest relevant to the study, and we hereby declare that we comply with the principles of publishing ethics.

Author's contribution

Juan Zhang, Han LiandChenchen Pan conceptualization, writing of the original draft, formal analysis. Zhipeng Li reviewing, and editing. All authors participated in drafting the manuscript and all have read, contributed to, and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Data Availability

The datasets generated and analyzed in the current study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Consent for Publication

All other authors have read the manuscript and have agreed to submit it in its current form for consideration for publication in the Journal of Clinical Case Reports, Medical Images and Health Sciences.

References

- 1. Martino RB, Guidotte DV, Waisberg DR, Santos AGD, Cassenote AJF, Arantes RM, et al. Attitude and knowledge of medical students toward donation after circulatory death. Revista da Associacao Medica Brasileira (1992). 2021; 67(4): 602-606.
- 2. Cruz DN, de Cal M, Garzotto F, Perazella MA, Lentini P, Corradi V, et al. Plasma neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin is an early biomarker for acute kidney injury in an adult ICU population. Intensive care medicine. 2010; 36(3): 444-451.
- 3. Wade JA, Hurley CK, Takemoto SK, Thompson J, Davies SM, Fuller TC, et al. HLA mismatching within or outside of cross-reactive groups (CREGs) is associated with similar outcomes after unrelated hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. Blood. 2007; 109(9): 4064-4070.
- 4. Bracher M, Madi-Segwagwe BC, Winstanley E, Gillan H, Long-Sutehall T. Family refusal of eye tissue donation from potential solid organ donors: a retrospective analysis of summary and free-text data from the UK National Health Service Blood and Transplant Services (NHS-BT) National Referral Centre (1 April 2014 to 31 March 2017). BMJ open. 2021; 11(9): e045250.
- 5. Siminoff LA, Gordon N, Hewlett J, Arnold RM. Factors influencing families' consent for donation of solid organs for transplantation. Jama. 2001; 286(1): 71-77.
- 6. Patel SA, Dhillon PK, Kondal D, Jeemon P, Kahol K, Manimunda SP, et al. Chronic disease concordance within Indian households: A cross-sectional study. PLoS medicine. 2017; 14(9): e1002395.
- 7. Padela Al, Duivenbode R, Saunders MR, Quinn M, Koh E. The impact of religiously tailored and ethically balanced education on intention for living organ donation among Muslim Americans. Clinical transplantation. 2020; 34(12): e14111.
- 8. Hu B, Fan M, Huang F, Zhu T. Motivational Tendency Differences Between the Pre-qin Confucianism and Legalism by Psycholinguistic Analysis. Frontiers in psychology. 2021; 12: 724093.
- 9. Böhm F, Speicher T, Hellerbrand C, Dickson C, Partanen JM, Ornitz DM, et al. FGF receptors 1 and 2 control chemically induced injury and compound detoxification in regenerating livers of mice. Gastroenterology. 2010; 139(4): 1385-1396.
- 10. Jones DG, Nie JB. Does Confucianism allow for body donation? Anatomical sciences education. 2018; 11(5): 525-531.
- 11. Gill J, Dong J, Rose C, Johnston O, Landsberg D, Gill J. The effect of race and income on living kidney donation in the United States. Journal of the American Society of Nephrology: JASN. 2013; 24(11): 1872-1879.

Volume 2 | Issue 6 | 2022